Changing History: Revolutionary Influences on Historical Narratives in the United States Introduction

Changing the Past, Silencing Voices of Reason

Written by **Jeff A. Pierson**
Independent Researcher and Compiler
July 2025

Executive Summary: Reshaping U.S. History – Institutional Influence, Erasure, and the Consequences for Liberty

This report offers a comprehensive analysis of the ideological transformation of American historical narrative in education, media, public space, and government policy. It highlights how diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) initiatives, critical race theory (CRT), and projects like the 1619 Project have been institutionalized across federal, state, academic, and cultural platforms, altering how U.S. history is taught and remembered.

At the heart of this transformation is a movement away from traditional civics, constitutional literacy, and a shared national identity rooted in liberty, individual responsibility, and natural rights. Instead, emerging curricula often emphasize historical grievance, systemic oppression, and collective guilt. The long-term consequences of these changes include diminished civic understanding, generational pessimism, and a weakened foundation for national unity and informed citizenship.

The report traces:

- Federal actions (Executive Orders 13985 and 14035) embedding equity mandates across agencies
- Curriculum funding prioritization under the Department of Education (2021 Proposed Rule)
- State-level legislative responses (e.g., Florida's Stop WOKE Act, Texas SB3, Tennessee HB580)
- Monument removals and public renamings driven by advocacy groups and federal mandates
- The role of nonprofit and global entities (e.g., Pulitzer Center, W.K. Kellogg Foundation, United Nations SDGs) in exporting new historical frameworks

It documents how progressive strategies leverage policy, grantmaking, activism, and public-private coordination to implement radical redefinitions of America's founding, heroes, and moral legacy. While claiming to broaden perspectives, these approaches often displace primary-source civics and classical liberal education.

The paper concludes with a call to action: for communities, educators, and policymakers to reclaim balanced historical education that honors truth, context, and foundational principles of liberty. Recommended paths include legislative clarity, curriculum reform,

primary-source teaching tools, and a renewed focus on civic literacy over ideological programming.

This resource is intended as a nonpartisan reference for policymakers, educators, researchers, and citizens concerned with the trajectory of American civic identity and historical remembrance.

Prepared by: Jeff A. Pierson
Date: July 2025

Table of Contents

Executive Summary: Reshaping U.S. History – Institutional Influence, Erasure, and the Consequences for Liberty 2

Reshaping U.S. History: Institutional Influence, Erasure, and the Consequences for Liberty 8

- The Ideological Battlefield: Institutions, Strategy, and Revolutionary Motive 8
- Federal Government Influence: Policy and Education Directives 8
- State and Local Curricular Changes 9
- Academic Institutions and Nonprofit Advocacy 10
- Corporate and Media Influence on Historical Narrative 11
- Memory-Holing and the Suppression of Dissent 13
  - What if the Revolutionaries Succeed? 14
- Consequences for Civic Understanding 15

A Closer Look at Cultural Influence and International Pressures 17

- Religious and Moral Foundations in U.S. History 17
- **Globalizing the American Narrative: International Influence on Education** 17
- International Influence on Domestic History Education 18

Remembering The Past 20

- Religious and Moral Foundations in U.S. History 20
- Globalizing the American Narrative: International Influence on Education 20
- Remembering the Past: A Blueprint of Liberty 21
- **The Confederacy: Remembering in Full, Not in Fragments** 22
- Is Teaching History, Civics, and the Constitution Important? 23

Appendix A: Monument Removals and Renamed Institutions 26

- Founding Fathers & U.S. Presidents 26
- Christopher Columbus 26
- Confederate Figures 27
- Explorers and Pioneers 27
- Miscellaneous & Symbolic 27
- Universities & Institutions Renamed 28
- Military Bases 28

Public Buildings Renamed 28
Public Schools (K–12) 29
Appendix B: The 1619 Project and Its Influence 30
Appendix C: Historical Revolutionary Attempts to Change History and Their Consequences 31
Appendix D: DEI and Equity-Based Spending on History Curriculum 32
Appendix E: Key Figures in DEI and the 1619 Project 33
Appendix E: Possible Motives Behind Historical Revisionism by Liberals and Revolutionaries 34
Appendix F: Additional Considerations 35
Legal and Constitutional Implications 35
Teacher Certification and Training Requirements 35
Religious and Moral Foundations in U.S. History 35
Economic and Industry Incentives 35
Proposed Remedies and Reforms 35
Appendix G: Emerging Revolutionary Educational Initiatives 37
Appendix H: Partial Timeline of Revolutionary Activities 38
Appendix I: Contextual Timeline 39
Appendix J: Further Reading 40
Appendix K: Expanded Bios of Referenced Individuals 42
Appendix L: Dictionary of Key ProgressiveTerms 46
Appendix M:Terms Used to Identify Conservatives, Right-Wingers, or Traditionalists 51
Appendix N: Comparative Definition of Terms Adopted by Revolutionaries and Leftists 55
Appendix O: Profiles of Prominent Leftist and Revolutionary Groups 58
Black Lives Matter (BLM) 58
Antifa 58
Sunrise Movement 58
Indivisible 59
MoveOn 59
Justice Democrats 60

Democratic Socialists of America (DSA) 60
Third Act Movement 60
Why This Matters 61
Appendix P: Current (2025) Strategic Briefing on Leftist Organizations, Comparative Analysis, and Strategic Reccomendations 62
Antifa 62
Black Lives Matter (BLM) 62
Democratic Socialists of America (DSA) 62
Sunrise Movement 63
Indivisible 63
Comparative Analysis 63
Strategic Recommendations 64
Appendix Q: Relevant Policies, Statutes, and Legislative Actions Impacting U.S. History Education and Public Memory 66

..... 66
I. Federal Executive Orders and Initiatives 66
Executive Order 13985 (2021) 66
Executive Order 14035 (2021) 66
Department of Education (2021) – Proposed Rule on American History and Civics Education 66
II. State Legislation Targeting CRT, DEI, and Historical Narrative Reform 67
Florida House Bill 7 (2022) – "Stop WOKE Act" 67
Florida Senate Bill 266 (2023) / House Bill 999 67
Arkansas House Bill 1218 (2021) – Withdrawn 67
Tennessee HB 580 / SB 623 (2021) 67
Texas Senate Bill 3 (2021) 67
III. Public Monument and Naming Reforms 68
National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) for FY 2021 – Section 370 68
U.S. Naming Commission Final Report (2023) 68

Southern Poverty Law Center – "Whose Heritage?" Report (2018–2023) 68
IV. International and NGO Influence 68
United Nations Sustainable Development Goal 4 68
W.K. Kellogg Foundation – TRHT (Truth, Racial Healing, and Transformation) 68
Pulitzer Center – 1619 Project Curriculum Distribution 69
Appendix R: Citations 70

Reshaping U.S. History: Institutional Influence, Erasure, and the Consequences for Liberty

The Ideological Battlefield: Institutions, Strategy, and Revolutionary Motive

While outright erasure of historical records remains rare in the United States, there has been a structured and increasingly coordinated effort to reshape how history is taught and remembered an effort comparable in intent, if not in scope, to the 20th-century campaigns of ideological reeducation seen in revolutionary France, Maoist China, or Soviet Russia. In each case, state-aligned entities weaponized historical reinterpretation to delegitimize the existing moral and civic order, replacing it with a revolutionary paradigm. In the U.S., this process is unfolding through the collaboration of federal agencies, elected officials, academic institutions, nonprofit organizations, private corporations, and media platforms. Though framed as a moral imperative under the banner of diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI), the cumulative impact raises significant concerns for civic education, public memory, and national

Federal Government Influence: Policy and Education Directives

Since the 1960s, federal influence on education has steadily increased first through the creation of the Department of Education in 1979 under President Jimmy Carter and then through funding-based initiatives like Goals 2000 (Clinton), No Child Left Behind (Bush), Race to the Top (Obama), and most recently, DEI and equity-based reforms under the Biden administration. These initiatives have gradually shifted educational focus from foundational civic instruction to increasingly identity-based curricula.

Following the January 6th Capitol breach in 2021, the federal government took unprecedented steps to control public perception. While over 1,400 individuals have been charged many based on facial recognition software and social media posts the creators of the gallows erected outside the Capitol remain unidentified and unprosecuted. This selective approach to enforcement has raised concerns reminiscent of COINTELPRO-era tactics used by the FBI in the 1960s and 70s, where narrative control was central to the suppression of dissent.

In 2021, the Biden administration's Department of Education proposed grants that prioritized curriculum inspired by the *1619 Project* and the writings of Ibram X. Kendi. Although public backlash led to the removal of explicit references, internal guidance documents still rewarded proposals aligned with similar ideological frameworks. This

mirrors earlier ideological shifts seen during the New Left's rise in the 1960s, when institutions like the National Education Association endorsed Paulo Freire's critical pedagogy and "consciousness raising" as central to public education reform.

Spending under the Biden administration has significantly increased in this area. According to federal budget records, over $1 billion has been directed to DEI-aligned educational priorities since 2021, including:

- $490 million for equity-based hiring in education,
- $343 million for identity-centered programming,
- $170 million for DEI-influenced mental health interventions in schools.

Additionally, Executive Order 13985 (January 20, 2021) mandated that all federal agencies develop Equity Action Plans, which directly inform grantmaking and institutional accountability metrics. This order echoes historical parallels like the Great Society's War on Poverty, which while well-intentioned substantially increased federal leverage over local education priorities.

Federal initiatives have also prioritized funding for *minority-serving institutions* (MSIs), including HBCUs, HSIs, and tribal colleges… the ideological framing now explicitly connects historical grievances with present-day policy, departing from the Civil Rights-era ideal of a "colorblind society" as articulated by Martin Luther King Jr. in his 1963 "I Have a Dream" speech.

Moreover, the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) in 2021 issued a report labeling its own founding documents including the Constitution and Declaration of Independence as potentially "harmful." This echoes efforts in revolutionary regimes such as the Chinese Cultural Revolution where foundational texts and national symbols were reinterpreted or destroyed to align with new ideological goals.

.

State and Local Curricular Changes

State-level agencies have also contributed significantly to the ideological transformation of American history instruction. In 2019, the Pulitzer Center, in collaboration with *The New York Times*, began distributing *1619 Project* materials centered on reframing American history around slavery and systemic racism to over 4,500 classrooms nationwide. This initiative mirrors earlier curriculum overhauls such as the *New Social Studies* movement of the 1960s, which introduced inquiry-based learning but was later criticized for marginalizing constitutional literacy and civic tradition. Major urban districts like Chicago,

Washington, D.C., and Buffalo adopted *1619 Project*-aligned curricula despite formal critiques from historians such as Gordon S. Wood and James McPherson, who noted factual inaccuracies and ideological bias.

By 2021, several progressive states including California, Oregon, Illinois, and New York implemented or proposed statewide curricular standards emphasizing "systems of power and oppression," often rooted in critical race theory and intersectionality. California's *Ethnic Studies Model Curriculum*, passed by the State Board of Education, mandates that students "challenge racist, bigoted, discriminatory, and imperialist/colonial beliefs," while reducing direct engagement with primary founding documents like the Federalist Papers or the Declaration of Independence. These changes reflect a broader educational trend similar to the multiculturalist reforms of the 1990s, but with greater legal codification and ideological alignment.

Simultaneously, funding streams have been redirected from classical instruction rooted in civic republicanism toward DEI-aligned content providers, such as *Learning for Justice* (formerly *Teaching Tolerance*, a project of the Southern Poverty Law Center). These materials prioritize identity politics and activism-centered learning over chronological, source-based historical study. As a result, students increasingly encounter American history through a lens of grievance and systemic critique, rather than constitutional development or civic achievement a shift that undermines traditional understandings of liberty, individual rights, and shared national purpose.

Academic Institutions and Nonprofit Advocacy

Since the early 2010s and with acceleration during the post-2020 period elite universities such as Harvard, Yale, Georgetown, Stanford, and others have integrated Critical Race Theory (CRT), *1619 Project* materials, and "anti-racist" scholarship into their education departments, teacher credentialing programs, and undergraduate general education courses. Harvard's Graduate School of Education, for example, has offered workshops on "Disrupting Whiteness in Teaching and Learning", while Yale's Center for the Study of Race, Indigeneity, and Transnational Migration has promoted intersectional frameworks that reframe U.S. history around structural oppression. Georgetown University, a Jesuit institution once central to the study of constitutional law and political thought, now includes CRT-based pedagogy in its undergraduate core and sponsors racial equity training for faculty and staff.

Under the Biden administration, the National Endowment for the Humanities (NEH) has reoriented major grant programs including the *Dialogues on the Experience of War*, *Landmarks of American History*, and *Humanities Initiatives at Colleges and Universities* to

emphasize “decolonized” curricula, land acknowledgment mandates, and the prioritization of historically marginalized voices. The NEH awarded tens of millions in grants from 2021–2024 to support projects that often reinterpret foundational U.S. events through the lens of racial, gender, and post-colonial critique.

At the nonprofit level, organizations like the Pulitzer Center and the Zinn Education Project (named after historian and activist Howard Zinn) have taken up parallel efforts to disseminate curricula infused with social justice themes, focusing primarily on themes of oppression, resistance, and historical grievance. The Zinn Education Project in particular promotes materials that portray capitalism, Western civilization, and American foreign policy as fundamentally exploitative, distributing lesson plans like *“People’s History of the United States”* to thousands of teachers.

While these approaches claim to offer a more inclusive narrative, critics argue they often omit historical complexity and constitutional context in favor of ideological simplification. As historian Mary Grabar notes in *Debunking Howard Zinn*:

“Zinn turned history into a weapon by omitting facts, distorting others, and presenting a relentlessly negative portrait of the United States, aimed at convincing students their country is unworthy of loyalty.”

This shift marks a stark departure from the liberal education tradition that once emphasized critical engagement with primary sources, the development of reasoned civic virtue, and the cultivation of a shared national memory rooted in both critique and gratitude. The result is an academic environment in which activism increasingly displaces objective inquiry, and history is filtered through ideological commitment rather than balanced analysis.

Corporate and Media Influence on Historical Narrative

Private media companies and technology platforms have played a pivotal role in accelerating the reinterpretation of American history. The New York Times’ 1619 Project, launched in August 2019, sought to reframe the nation’s founding around the arrival of enslaved Africans in Virginia rather than the Declaration of Independence in 1776. The lead essay by Nikole Hannah-Jones claimed that “protecting slavery was a primary motivation” for the American Revolution an assertion directly challenged by leading historians including Gordon S. Wood, Sean Wilentz, and James McPherson, who criticized the project for factual inaccuracies and historical distortions. Despite this scholarly pushback, school districts in Chicago, Washington, D.C., Buffalo, and more than 30 states adopted *1619*

Project materials into their classrooms, aided by funding and curriculum guides from the Pulitzer Center.

Entertainment platforms such as Netflix, Disney+, and Hulu have released highly stylized productions that reinforce progressive historical narratives. Netflix's 2021 docudrama *Amend: The Fight for America*, hosted by Will Smith, reinterprets the 14th Amendment through a contemporary social justice lens, featuring activist commentary rather than neutral legal exposition. Disney+ and Hulu have produced shows and documentaries that emphasize systemic oppression and historical guilt, often downplaying constitutional development or civic achievement. This represents a shift from the historical dramatizations of the mid-to-late 20th century, such as *Victory at Sea* or *Roots*, which while not free of editorial bias were rooted in broadly accepted historical scholarship.

Big Tech platforms Google, Facebook (Meta), Twitter (now X) have faced increasing scrutiny for content moderation practices that disproportionately suppress dissenting historical interpretations. During the height of public debate over the *1619 Project* and CRT, several commentators reported algorithmic suppression or account suspensions for sharing critiques. For instance, during 2020–2022, conservative-leaning educational content creators and journalists such as James Lindsay, Christopher Rufo, and Abigail Shrier faced de-platforming, shadow bans, or fact-checking overlays that discouraged engagement. These practices echo earlier concerns over information control seen in the aftermath of 9/11 and during the 2020 COVID-19 pandemic, where dominant narratives were enforced by platform policy rather than public debate.

Corporate America has also joined the ideological realignment. Companies like Apple, Nike, Bank of America, and Microsoft have adopted DEI-based historical reinterpretation into their employee training, internal communications, and philanthropic grantmaking. For example, Bank of America's "United in Action" initiative encouraged employees to view American capitalism as structurally racist and recommended *1619 Project*-style materials as required reading. Similarly, Apple's Racial Equity and Justice Initiative (REJI) pledged $100 million in part to "reframe historical understanding" in collaboration with academic partners.

Even educational publishers have revised their materials to conform to these ideological shifts. Pearson, Scholastic, and McGraw-Hill three of the largest K–12 textbook providers have released social studies curricula that minimize or omit references to the Founding Fathers, natural rights theory, and Enlightenment influences, while foregrounding themes of colonialism, white privilege, and systemic inequality. In some editions, terms like "Founding Fathers" have been replaced with "framers" or removed entirely, and coverage of the Constitution is reduced or framed in terms of its "exclusionary" aspects.

Together, these changes represent not just a correction of past omissions, but a wholesale reframing of public memory, one that shifts historical interpretation from civic unity and constitutional order toward activism, grievance, and ideological conformity.

Memory-Holing and the Suppression of Dissent

Orwellian-style "memory-holing" the selective deletion, manipulation, or suppression of inconvenient truths is no longer hypothetical in modern American discourse. Originally described in George Orwell's 1949 novel *1984*, the concept referred to the systematic rewriting of history by authoritarian regimes to maintain ideological control. In the present context, this form of soft censorship has become increasingly common across public policy, media platforms, and digital knowledge repositories.

During the COVID-19 pandemic (2020–2022), public health authorities and political leaders issued strong mandates such as universal masking, school closures, and vaccine passports only to quietly walk back or reverse these positions as data or public opinion shifted. Yet these reversals were rarely accompanied by public accountability or correction of earlier statements, and in some cases, prior policies were scrubbed or reworded on official websites. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) revised guidance without archiving changes, creating confusion about what had actually been recommended and when a digital equivalent of retroactive historical editing.

Major platforms such as Wikipedia and Google have increasingly curated content to reflect establishment-approved narratives. Entries related to politically sensitive topics such as the Hunter Biden laptop story, Kyle Rittenhouse trial, or the origins of COVID-19 have been locked, restructured, or given disclaimers. In 2020, Twitter and Facebook suppressed the New York Post's reporting on the Hunter Biden laptop, citing unverified sourcing, only for major news outlets (e.g., *The New York Times*, *Washington Post*) to later authenticate key elements of the story. By then, public perception had already been shaped, particularly in the context of the 2020 presidential election.

Rod Dreher, in *Live Not by Lies* (2020), draws a compelling comparison between this emerging trend and the psychological control mechanisms used in totalitarian societies:

"Soft totalitarianism uses fear of social punishment and loss of status to enforce conformity not prison and torture as in the past, but the threat of being unpersoned, unemployable, and socially destroyed."

This phenomenon is evident in the treatment of intellectual dissenters. Figures such as James Lindsay, Abigail Shrier, and Peter Boghossian have faced deplatforming, academic

censure, and professional blacklisting for challenging dominant narratives around gender identity, CRT, and progressive orthodoxy. Shrier's *Irreversible Damage* was delisted or deprioritized on Amazon, while Lindsay's work has been algorithmically suppressed on social platforms.

Political commentators such as Tucker Carlson, formerly of Fox News, and investigative journalist Julie Kelly, known for her reporting on January 6th prosecutions, have been targeted for algorithmic throttling, advertiser pressure, or removal from platforms. In contrast to earlier journalistic norms that emphasized pluralism and adversarial inquiry, modern media increasingly adopts curatorial enforcement of ideological boundaries a trend not unlike the Soviet "disinformation" campaigns that conflated dissent with misinformation to justify suppression.

The result is a cultural environment in which truth becomes contingent on political utility, and history is no longer what happened, but what dominant institutions permit to be remembered.

What if the Revolutionaries Succeed?

The False Lens of Oppression and Inequity

To view American history solely through the lens of oppression and inequity is not only reductive it is tragic. It flattens a vast, complex, and often beautiful story into a single narrative of guilt and grievance. Yes, we must confront our injustices slavery, segregation, the denial of rights to women and minorities. These are wounds in the national memory. But to reduce the American story to a chronicle of sin is to discard the struggle, the striving, and the triumphs that have made this nation a symbol of hope to millions across centuries.

Such a one-dimensional approach forgets that the very ideals used to critique America liberty, natural rights, human dignity are gifts bequeathed by the American experiment itself. These were not empty words. Though imperfectly applied, they were powerful enough to inspire abolitionists, suffragists, civil rights leaders, and dissidents around the globe. The abolition of slavery, the expansion of the franchise, the defense of civil liberties these were not imposed by conquerors or outside powers. They were born from within the system, carved from the Constitution itself, proving that the Founders' design contained the tools of self-correction.

When we teach young people that their country is irredeemable, that its founding was a fraud, we do not empower them we impoverish them. We rob them of a heritage of struggle

and courage, of reform and rebirth. We leave them rootless, cynical, and primed for division. We train them not to build, but to tear down.

The narrative of oppression alone turns every figure into a villain, every document into a lie, every monument into a target. It asks students to pass judgment on the past with no historical imagination and no gratitude for what has been handed down. In its wake, we are left not with progress, but with amnesia a deliberate forgetting, not just of what went wrong, but of all that went right.

We must tell the truth but the whole truth. Yes, America stumbled. But she also soared. Her greatness lies not in having been perfect, but in never ceasing to try to become better. Her Constitution, flawed as it was at birth, has become the vehicle through which liberty has expanded to all her citizens. That story must be preserved, not buried. For without it, we risk not just misunderstanding our past but forfeiting our future.

Consequences for Civic Understanding

This coordinated reshaping of American history is not an academic debate—it is a cultural and political transformation with grave consequences. As federal funding mechanisms increasingly dictate which historical frameworks are permissible in schools and universities, the independence of academic inquiry is quietly eroded. Dissenting voices—scholars, educators, and public intellectuals—find themselves marginalized, defunded, or silenced, not through open censorship, but through bureaucratic pressure, ideological conformity, and professional exile.

Public understanding of American history is being systematically narrowed. Instead of a full, honest chronicle of triumphs and failures, citizens are being fed an ideological script—one that flattens complexity, vilifies foundational principles, and recasts liberty as privilege. As statues are toppled, textbooks rewritten, and national heroes condemned, we must confront a chilling question:
What happens to a free society when it forgets how it became free?

History is not merely a record of the past—it is a mirror, a map, and a moral compass. Without it, the citizenry drifts, vulnerable to propaganda, division, and control. A nation untethered from its memory becomes a nation easily manipulated. It forgets the price of liberty, the dangers of centralized power, and the hard-won wisdom of constitutional government.

Though outright erasure of historical records remains rare in the United States, the structured and escalating effort to revise, obscure, or politicize how history is taught and remembered is undeniable. It now reaches across federal agencies, state departments,

academic institutions, nonprofit organizations, and media platforms. Together, they form a network of influence that subtly—but effectively—replaces civic literacy with ideological messaging, and unity with identity-based division.

These developments are not merely educational—they are existential. For if we allow the story of America to be rewritten beyond recognition, if we teach our children only to condemn and never to understand or preserve, then we will have sown the seeds of our republic's undoing. A nation that cannot remember why it was free will not remain free.

A Closer Look at Cultural Influence and International Pressures

Religious and Moral Foundations in U.S. History

A critical but often overlooked aspect of the current reshaping of American history is the removal of Judeo-Christian influences that underpinned the nation's founding principles, legal frameworks, and moral vision. The intellectual tradition behind the Declaration of Independence, the Constitution, and the Bill of Rights was rooted in natural law theory, biblical ethics, and Enlightenment rationalism—all of which assumed a transcendent moral order.

These influences were instrumental in major reform movements such as the abolition of slavery and the Civil Rights movement. Leaders like Frederick Douglass and Martin Luther King Jr. grounded their appeals in religious conviction and scriptural authority. Erasing this foundation obscures the moral logic that inspired generations to pursue freedom and justice.

When students are taught a fragmented, secularized version of history that excludes these religious and philosophical foundations, they are left without a full understanding of the moral impulses that shaped the American experiment. This leads to a distorted perception—one that frames American ideals as inherently flawed rather than aspirational and self-correcting. Reintegrating the nation's moral heritage is essential for sustaining civic identity and preserving the ethical framework of a free society.

Globalizing the American Narrative: International Influence on Education

An increasingly significant force behind the reshaping of U.S. historical education is international ideological influence. Global movements aligned with the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and transnational Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) frameworks have begun shaping the language, content, and instructional methods used in American classrooms.

The SDGs promote educational benchmarks focused on identity, climate, migration, and decolonization—themes now found in K–12 curricula and teacher training programs. Through partnerships and funding incentives, global education NGOs and policy groups influence U.S. school districts and accreditation bodies. As a result, American educational policy is increasingly harmonized with international objectives that often conflict with the nation's founding principles.

This shift downplays American civic literacy and elevates universalist values that blur distinctions between U.S. constitutionalism and international governance. It reframes the United States predominantly as an agent of oppression while omitting its constitutional advancements and reform traditions. This globalized lens risks producing students who are attuned to transnational narratives of justice but disconnected from their own national identity, rights, and responsibilities.

International Influence on Domestic History Education

One of the more subtle but increasingly significant factors in the reshaping of American historical education is the influence of international ideological frameworks. Global movements particularly those aligned with the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and transnational Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) initiatives have begun to shape the language, priorities, and instructional strategies found in U.S. classrooms. These movements often promote concepts such as "global citizenship," "social justice," and "equity of outcomes," which, while appealing in a broad ethical sense, can run counter to America's traditional emphasis on national sovereignty, individual rights, and constitutional governance.

The SDGs include education benchmarks that explicitly encourage curricula centered on identity, climate, migration, and decolonization topics increasingly common in American textbooks and teacher training programs. International education NGOs and global policy think tanks frequently collaborate with U.S. school districts, education departments, and accreditation agencies to promote these themes, often through grant-based incentives. In effect, U.S. educational policy is being harmonized with ideological goals shaped by global institutions that do not share America's founding principles.

This shift has several consequences. First, it de-emphasizes American civic literacy and patriotic instruction in favor of universalist values that blur the distinction between American constitutionalism and international governance models. Second, it introduces frameworks that often place the United States in a permanently negative light focusing on colonialism, slavery, and systemic injustice while omitting context about American exceptionalism, legal reform, or constitutional achievements. Finally, it creates a generation of students more attuned to global narratives of justice than to the specific rights and responsibilities of American citizenship.

While international cooperation is not inherently problematic, its uncritical integration into history and civics education threatens to displace the moral and legal foundations of the republic. A free nation must teach its children not only to understand the world, but also to understand and defend the principles that make their own country unique and free.

Without that grounding, students risk becoming global subjects rather than informed American citizens.

Remembering The Past

Religious and Moral Foundations in U.S. History

A critical but often overlooked aspect of the current reshaping of American history is the removal of Judeo-Christian influences that underpinned the nation's founding principles, legal frameworks, and moral vision. The intellectual tradition behind the Declaration of Independence, the Constitution, and the Bill of Rights was rooted in natural law theory, biblical ethics, and Enlightenment rationalism—all of which assumed a transcendent moral order.

These influences were instrumental in major reform movements such as the abolition of slavery and the Civil Rights movement. Leaders like Frederick Douglass and Martin Luther King Jr. grounded their appeals in religious conviction and scriptural authority. Erasing this foundation obscures the moral logic that inspired generations to pursue freedom and justice.

When students are taught a fragmented, secularized version of history that excludes these religious and philosophical foundations, they are left without a full understanding of the moral impulses that shaped the American experiment. This leads to a distorted perception—one that frames American ideals as inherently flawed rather than aspirational and self-correcting. Reintegrating the nation's moral heritage is essential for sustaining civic identity and preserving the ethical framework of a free society.

Globalizing the American Narrative: International Influence on Education

An increasingly significant force behind the reshaping of U.S. historical education is international ideological influence. Global movements aligned with the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and transnational Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) frameworks have begun shaping the language, content, and instructional methods used in American classrooms.

The SDGs promote educational benchmarks focused on identity, climate, migration, and decolonization—themes now found in K–12 curricula and teacher training programs. Through partnerships and funding incentives, global education NGOs and policy groups influence U.S. school districts and accreditation bodies. As a result, American educational policy is increasingly harmonized with international objectives that often conflict with the nation's founding principles.

This shift downplays American civic literacy and elevates universalist values that blur distinctions between U.S. constitutionalism and international governance. It reframes the

United States predominantly as an agent of oppression while omitting its constitutional advancements and reform traditions. This globalized lens risks producing students who are attuned to transnational narratives of justice but disconnected from their own national identity, rights, and responsibilities.

Remembering the Past: A Blueprint of Liberty

Remembering the past—including its most painful and controversial chapters—is vital to preserving truth, learning from mistakes, and understanding the identity of a free people. American history is not a tale of unbroken virtue, but neither is it a record of irredeemable failure. It is a story of a nation striving—imperfectly but persistently—toward liberty and justice.

The United States was the first nation founded on the idea that rights come not from rulers, but from God or nature. Documents like the Declaration of Independence, the Constitution, and the Bill of Rights introduced ideas—natural rights, limited government, consent of the governed—that shaped not only America, but democratic movements across the world.

From the abolition of slavery and women's suffrage to civil rights and disability access, America's great moral battles were fought using constitutional tools. The system was not overthrown to create justice—it was used and refined. These victories teach that freedom is preserved not by erasing history, but by understanding it, applying its ideals, and correcting its failures.

America's first century alone saw milestones like the Emancipation Proclamation, the abolition of slavery, and the constitutional amendments that guaranteed citizenship and voting rights. These were not minor reforms—they were world-shifting achievements, made possible by citizens willing to fight for what the Founders had left unfinished.

Even the contested figures and moments in our past—Confederate memorials, flawed presidents, and controversial wars—teach us something vital. Remembering them honestly, without idolization or erasure, allows us to engage history with humility and truth. As George Santayana warned, "Those who cannot remember the past are condemned to repeat it."

History gives shape to civic identity. It helps us understand the costs of freedom, the meaning of law, the nature of progress, and the moral inheritance we hold in trust. To lose this history, or reduce it to grievance and guilt, is to rob the next generation of their compass and their confidence.

Consequences for Civic Understanding and Student Development

The ideological narrowing of American history poses serious consequences for civic understanding and psychological development. Federal funding increasingly dictates acceptable narratives. Academic dissenters face marginalization. Students are taught not just that their country is flawed, but that its foundational ideals are illegitimate.

Instruction rooted in systemic guilt and victimhood can foster anxiety, resentment, and detachment. Students are conditioned to view themselves as permanent victims or oppressors, undermining a sense of personal agency, gratitude, and civic responsibility. Rather than learning how past generations reformed a flawed system, they are taught to distrust the system altogether.

Public understanding of American history is becoming narrow and ideologically filtered. Statues fall, books are altered, and dissent is suppressed. A chilling question arises: what happens to a free society when it forgets how it became free?

History is not a list of facts—it is a moral and civic inheritance. When taught truthfully, it cultivates unity, reason, humility, and purpose. When revised for ideological conformity, it becomes propaganda. A republic that forgets its origins loses its ability to defend liberty. Without memory, there can be no understanding. Without understanding, there can be no freedom.

The Confederacy: Remembering in Full, Not in Fragments

To confront American history honestly requires acknowledging its full scope—including the Confederacy. Efforts to erase Confederate monuments and memory often stem from a genuine desire to repudiate slavery and racial injustice. Yet in the process, we risk substituting nuance for condemnation and forgetting that moral clarity requires moral context.

Confederate leaders were not foreign enemies but American citizens caught in the great moral crisis of their time. Many believed they were defending their homes, families, and regional identities. While the cause of the Confederacy was inseparable from the evil of slavery, understanding the motives and humanity of those involved does not constitute endorsement. It enables a more honest reckoning.

After the Civil War, national reconciliation was pursued not through vengeance but remembrance—a calculated decision to heal the Union. Monuments, street names, and dedications followed, sometimes reflecting regional pride, sometimes flawed nostalgia, but always layered with meaning. Tearing them down without context creates the illusion that history can be purified through silence.

Figures like Robert E. Lee illustrate the moral complexity that good history should preserve. Though he opposed secession and slavery in principle, he chose loyalty to Virginia over command of the Union Army. This contradiction forces difficult moral reflection—a reflection essential to understanding how personal conviction and public duty collide in moments of crisis.

Selective memory invites a dangerous precedent. If monuments to flawed men must fall, where does that end? The Founders owned slaves. Lincoln suspended habeas corpus. No historical figure emerges unscathed when judged solely by the standards of our own age. To remember is not to revere. To understand is not to absolve.

The solution is not to erase but to contextualize—through plaques, museums, civic discussion, and education. A nation mature enough to face its darkest chapters is one prepared to uphold its highest ideals.

The Confederacy must not be romanticized. But neither should it be scrubbed from national memory. Honest history demands we confront complexity, not retreat from it. In doing so, we preserve a more resilient and truthful republic.

Is Teaching History, Civics, and the Constitution Important?

For almost 250 years, the Republic has stood as example of justice and goodness. While not perfect, we have always been working towards the promise of protecting the inalienable rights of all citizens, preserving Liberty, and building a future for our children.

A republic only works if its citizens understand it. The U.S. Constitution outlines a system of checks and balances, individual rights, and limited government. Without understanding how this system works or why it was designed that way citizens cannot effectively hold leaders accountable or protect their own liberties.

Civic education empowers people to be active participants, not passive subjects. Knowing how local, state, and federal systems work enables citizens to:

- Vote intelligently
- Petition government
- Serve on juries
- Run for office
- Identify government overreach or neglect

History provides context and warning. By studying past revolutions, abuses of power, and failed democracies, citizens learn what can go wrong and how quickly freedom can be lost. Civics and history together inoculate against propaganda and revisionism.

Many Americans don't realize their rights under the Bill of Rights or how those rights have been challenged and defended over time. Teaching the Constitution helps individuals understand:

- Free speech boundaries
- Due process
- Religious liberty
- States' rights
- The Second Amendment
- The separation of powers

A shared understanding of American history warts and all creates a common civic identity. It promotes respectful debate and helps unify a diverse population under shared principles rather than factionalism or tribalism.

In the absence of civic knowledge, citizens become vulnerable to manipulation by media, corporations, or even their own government. Educated citizens are better equipped to challenge falsehoods with facts and logic.

The Founders believed liberty depended on a virtuous citizenry people who value responsibility, service, and restraint. Civic education fosters those values by showing how individual conduct affects collective freedom.

Teaching civics, history, and the Constitution isn’t just about facts it’s about freedom. A citizenry that doesn’t understand its own government becomes easy to divide, deceive, or dominate. One that does understand it can defend liberty and pass it on.

Today, efforts to change America’s cultural and historical narrative aren’t always violent but they are powerful. Grants, curriculum reforms, and ideological mandates shape how the next generation views its country. If they’re only told what’s wrong and not what’s worth preserving, they may grow up ready to dismantle a system they don’t understand.

Truth is not fragile. Our history is not simple. But the more we try to filter, revise, or erase it, the more we lose our identity as a people. And that’s a loss no society survives.

Appendix A: Monument Removals and Renamed Institutions

Monuments to historical figures, famous and infamous, have been under attack for several years. Below are several tables identifying specific locations where monuments have been torn down or relocated.

Founding Fathers & U.S. Presidents

Historical Figure	Location & Action	Year
George Washington	Portland, OR – Statue toppled	2020
	Chicago, IL – Bust removed from park	2022
Thomas Jefferson	New York City Hall – Removed from Council Chambers	2021
	University of Missouri – Statue removed	
Abraham Lincoln	Boston, MA – Emancipation Memorial removed	2021
	Portland, OR – Statue toppled	2020
Theodore Roosevelt	NYC Museum of Natural History – Equestrian statue removed	2022

Christopher Columbus

Location	Action	Year
Baltimore, MD	Statue torn down, thrown into harbor	2020
Chicago, IL	Two statues removed under police protection	
Richmond, VA	Statue toppled, thrown into lake	
San Francisco, CA	Removed from Coit Tower	
Columbus, OH	Removed from City Hall	

Confederate Figures

Figure	Location & Action	Year
Robert E. Lee	Richmond, VA – Monument removed	2021
	Dallas, TX – Removed from public park	
	Charlottesville, VA – Removed and melted down	2021–2023
Stonewall Jackson	Richmond, VA – Statue removed	
	Charlottesville, VA – Statue removed	
Jefferson Davis	Richmond, VA – Statue toppled	2020
	Kentucky Capitol – Bust removed	2020
Nathan B. Forrest	Memphis, TN – Statue removed	2017
	Remains relocated	2021
Confederate Memorials	Various Southern states – Dozens removed	

Explorers and Pioneers

Figure	Location & Action	Year
Junípero Serra	San Francisco, CA – Statue torn down	2020
	Los Angeles, CA – Statue removed	
Francis Scott Key	San Francisco, CA – Statue toppled	2020
	Baltimore, MD – Vandalized and removed	

Miscellaneous & Symbolic

Monument/Statue	Location & Action	Year
Pioneer Mother Monument	San Francisco – Torn down	2020
Oregon Pioneer Statue	Salem, OR – Removed from Capitol	2020
Unnamed Soldier Statues	Various campuses, city parks, cemeteries – Removed	

Universities & Institutions Renamed

Institution	Original Name	New Name/Status
Yale University	Calhoun College	Renamed Hopper College
Princeton University	Woodrow Wilson School	Renamed Princeton School of Public Affairs
University of California	Barrows Hall	Renamed Social Sciences Building
Stanford University	Junípero Serra buildings	Renamed or removed
University of Virginia	Confederate-linked buildings	Renamed under equity task force

Military Bases

Former Name	New Name	Date Changed	Location
Fort Benning	Fort Moore	May 2023	Georgia
Fort Bragg	Fort Liberty	June 2023	North Carolina
Fort Hood	Fort Cavazos	May 2023	Texas
Fort Lee	Fort Gregg-Adams	April 2023	Virginia
Fort Pickett	Fort Barfoot	March 2023	Virginia
Fort Polk	Fort Johnson	June 2023	Louisiana
Fort Rucker	Fort Novosel	April 2023	Alabama
Fort A.P. Hill	Fort Walker	August 2023	Virginia
Fort Gordon	Fort Eisenhower	October 2023	Georgia

Public Buildings Renamed

Original Name	New Name / Status	Location
Russell Senate Office Building	Under pressure to rename	Washington, D.C.
J. Edgar Hoover FBI Building	Name likely to change	Washington, D.C.
Calhoun State Office Building	Renamed due to slavery ties	South Carolina
Wade Hampton Office Building	Renamed due to Confederate ties	South Carolina

Public Schools (K–12)

Hundreds of schools across the U.S. have been renamed to remove ties to:

- U.S. Presidents: George Washington, Thomas Jefferson, James Madison
- Military Leaders: Robert E. Lee, Stonewall Jackson, Nathan Bedford Forrest
- Early American figures associated with slavery or colonization

Appendix B: The 1619 Project and Its Influence

This appendix provides a factual overview of the 1619 Project's origins, adoption, and controversies. While praised by some for promoting racial awareness, others argue it distorts foundational truths and fuels ideological polarization.

Aspect	**Details**
Project Origin	Initiated by The New York Times in August 2019; led by journalist Nikole Hannah-Jones
Central Claim	Reframes U.S. history by asserting 1619 (arrival of first African slaves) as the nation's "true founding"
Educational Impact	Materials distributed to over 4,500 classrooms via partnership with Pulitzer Center
Federal Involvement	2021 Biden administration proposed education grants referencing 1619 Project; later revised
Criticism by Historians	Scholars like Gordon Wood and James McPherson criticized it for factual inaccuracies and ideological bias
State Adoption	Curricula inspired by the project adopted in cities like Chicago, Washington, D.C., and others
Legislative Pushback	Some states (e.g., Florida, Texas) passed laws restricting use of 1619 Project in public schools
Broader Influence	Inspired documentaries, public debates, and academic programs centered on systemic racism and reparations
Controversies	Accused of historical revisionism, lack of peer review, and diminishing founding principles
Supporters' Viewpoint	Viewed as a corrective to traditional narratives that overlooked slavery's role in shaping American history
Documented Factual Errors	- Claimed that the American Revolution was fought to preserve slavery disputed by most historians. - Asserted that slavery was a uniquely American invention, ignoring global historical context. - Misrepresented Abraham Lincoln's views on race and colonization policy. - Initially described 1619 as the nation's founding year, later walked back to a "metaphorical founding."

Appendix C: Historical Revolutionary Attempts to Change History and Their Consequences

Throughout history, revolutionary regimes that sought to reshape or erase the past in favor of a new ideological vision have consistently led to repression, violence, or national decline. These examples underscore the importance of preserving historical complexity and intellectual honesty

Country/Regime	Historical Rewriting Effort	Negative Consequences
Soviet Union (1917–1991)	Erased political opponents from photographs and textbooks; altered historical timelines	Cult of personality, mass censorship, suppression of dissent, historical ignorance among population
Nazi Germany (1933–1945)	Rewrote history to promote Aryan superiority and justify anti-Semitic laws and war efforts	Genocide, propaganda-driven education, loss of scholarly integrity, widespread historical falsehoods
Communist China (Mao era)	Cultural Revolution destroyed books, artifacts; redefined national origins and traditions	Millions persecuted, historical illiteracy, generational trauma, loss of cultural and religious heritage
Cambodia (Khmer Rouge)	Declared “Year Zero,” eradicated pre-revolutionary knowledge and traditions	Genocide, destruction of academic and religious institutions, widespread famine and societal collapse
French Revolution (1790s)	Replaced religious and historical calendars; redefined historical heroes and traditions	Reign of Terror, societal instability, backlash against radical secularism
Venezuela (2000s–present)	Government-controlled education redefined colonial and capitalist legacies as oppressive	Political polarization, economic collapse, youth indoctrination, international isolation
North Korea (1948–present)	Entire history tailored to support Kim dynasty mythology	Extreme censorship, totalitarianism, global misinformation, generational ignorance

.

Appendix D: DEI and Equity-Based Spending on History Curriculum

This appendix outlines the financial and institutional mechanisms used to support equity-based historical instruction in American education. While aimed at addressing historical injustices, critics argue these initiatives often displace foundational civics, emphasize group identity over individual liberty, and politicize K–12 and higher education.

Source/Agency	**Purpose and Focus**	**Estimated Spending (since 2021)**
U.S. Department of Education	Culturally responsive teaching, DEI hiring, anti-bias training	$1+ billion total
- DEI Hiring	Hiring DEI staff and consultants in schools and universities	$490 million
- DEI Programming	Curriculum development, teacher training, and DEI-centered student services	$343 million
- Mental Health Initiatives	Culturally responsive and trauma-informed mental health supports tied to DEI	$170 million
Biden Executive Order 13985	Required federal agencies to develop Equity Action Plans	Influences federal grant and education priorities
Federal Grant Programs	Grants tied to equity themes in civics and history education	Part of $5.3 million in pilot programs
State DEI Education Mandates	Blue states integrating equity frameworks into K–12 history instruction	Varies by state; includes CA, IL, NY
Nonprofit and NGO Partnerships	DEI-aligned content pushed through public-private curriculum partnerships	Pulitzer Center, Zinn Education Project, etc.

Appendix E: Key Figures in DEI and the 1619 Project

Name	Background	Ideology / Influence
Nikole Hannah-Jones	Pulitzer Prize–winning journalist (The New York Times Magazine), creator of the 1619 Project; Knight Chair at Howard University; co-founder of the Ida B. Wells Society; author of *The 1619 Project: A New Origin Story* (2021).	Reframes U.S. history to center slavery as foundational; advocates for race-conscious education and reparations; sees historical narrative as a tool for racial equity.
Dr. Ibram X. Kendi	National Book Award–winning historian; author of *Stamped from the Beginning* and *How to Be an Antiracist*; founder of BU's Center for Antiracist Research and incoming director at Howard's Institute for Advanced Study.	Proposes "antiracist" policy activism asserting neutrality is insufficient; seeks systemic change through equity-driven policies in education, criminal justice, health, and beyond.
Pulitzer Center & Zinn Education Project	Nonprofit education organizations partnering with media outlets to bring DEI-aligned history curricula into schools.	Champion narrative diversity, often emphasizing systemic oppression, activism, and marginalized voices; critics argue they can marginalize classical civics and constitutional frameworks.

Appendix E: Possible Motives Behind Historical Revisionism by Liberals and Revolutionaries

This appendix explores the potential motives behind ongoing efforts to rewrite American history. While many supporters claim to seek justice and inclusion, critics argue the deeper effects may undermine national unity, civic education, and the preservation of liberty.

Motive	Description	Implications
Redefining National Identity	Replace traditional American values (liberty, natural rights, individualism) with identity-based frameworks	Promotes division by group identity; weakens shared civic understanding
Institutional Power and Control	Control of education, language, and historical memory centralizes influence in government and activist institutions	Enables top-down enforcement of ideological conformity
Political Mobilization	Rewritten history generates a narrative of grievance, creating a dependable base of emotionally engaged voters	Undermines political pluralism and encourages polarization
Cultural Displacement and Resentment	Diminishing Western, Judeo-Christian, and constitutional heritage to delegitimize past generations and institutions	Leads to erasure of context and foundational civic values
Justification for Radical Policy	Framing the U.S. as systemically oppressive provides moral cover for redistributive policies and state intervention	Weakens property rights, free markets, and constitutional protections
Educational Indoctrination	Shifting history education toward activism and ideology rather than civic literacy or objective analysis	Produces citizens less equipped for critical thinking and constitutional self-governance
Revenge or Retributive Narrative	Emphasis on collective guilt and historical grievance to settle perceived generational scores	Replaces reconciliation and mutual progress with cycles of resentment and division

Appendix F: Additional Considerations

Legal and Constitutional Implications

Efforts to reframe American history often extend into the legal realm, influencing how the Constitution is interpreted and applied. The Equal Protection Clause, First Amendment rights, and legal definitions of discrimination are increasingly evaluated through the lens of equity rather than equality. This can undermine individual rights and due process protections in favor of group-based outcomes and subjective moral claims.

Teacher Certification and Training Requirements

Teacher education programs and state credentialing bodies increasingly require training in anti-racist pedagogy and DEI frameworks. This shapes not only what teachers are permitted to teach but also who qualifies to enter the profession. As a result, alternative or classical approaches to civics and history education are often excluded from mainstream institutions.

Religious and Moral Foundations in U.S. History

The erasure of Judeo-Christian influences on American history, law, and civic morality removes key context for understanding the founding era and major reform movements like abolition and civil rights. Excluding these ideas contributes to a sanitized or incomplete version of the nation's intellectual heritage.

Economic and Industry Incentives

DEI and historical revision industries represent a growing financial sector. Private foundations and corporations including textbook publishers, consultant firms, and tech platforms profit from mandated DEI training, materials, and curricula. This creates a financial incentive to sustain ideological frameworks, regardless of educational merit.

Proposed Remedies and Reforms

In response to these trends, potential reforms include restoring primary-source-driven instruction, reinforcing constitutional literacy standards, and protecting academic freedom. Legislative measures could promote balanced curriculum

development, ensure public input in monument preservation, and hold educational institutions accountable for ideological bias.

Appendix G: Emerging Revolutionary Educational Initiatives

This appendix highlights several modern educational movements and policy initiatives that many view as ideologically radical or revolutionary. While often framed as promoting inclusion and justice, critics argue these programs fundamentally alter the civic and historical fabric of the United States.

Initiative	Promoters / Sponsors	Funding Sources
Action Civics	NGOs like Generation Citizen, Mikva Challenge, iEngage, Earth Force; supported by public school districts and academic champions	Generation Citizen funded by Bezos Family Foundation, New York Community Trust, United Airlines (cms.generationcitizen.org, en.wikipedia.org)
Ethnic Studies Mandates	State legislators (e.g., California with AB 101), ethnic activists, academic consortia	Funded through state education budgets; grants from foundations advocating ethnic/cultural inclusion
Truth, Racial Healing & Transformation (TRHT)	W.K. Kellogg Foundation, Newman's Own Foundation, National Collaborative for Health Equity, Tracy urban pilot programs at universities	Over $32 M from Kellogg ; local Dallas funding via Communities Foundation of Texas, Rainwater, etc. (cftexas.org)
UNESCO/OECD Global Competence Standards	International agencies (UNESCO, OECD) with implementation through U.S. NGOs and curriculum-aligned school districts	Funded by international and national grants, supported by philanthropic education grants (e.g., Gates, Ford)
~~**Executive Orders 13985 & 14035**~~	~~Biden–Harris administration, federal agency leadership, DEIA offices~~	~~Federal budgets via DOE, DOJ, OPM – tied to agency funding and special appropriations~~
Liberated Ethnic Studies Curriculum	Radical ethnic studies scholars, campus-based activists, university ethnic studies departments	Sponsored through university funds, grassroots fundraising, and progressive academic grants
Federal Civics Funding (e.g., Civics Secures Democracy Act)	Bipartisan senators, U.S. Chamber of Commerce Foundation, nonpartisan civic NGOs	$1 B proposed annually (2022–27); FY 2024–25 DOE earmarked $23 M **PARTIALLY ACTIVE**

Appendix H: Partial Timeline of Revolutionary Activities

Year	Event
2015	~110 Confederate monuments removed nationwide following the Charleston church shooting (smithsonianmag.com).
2016	Tennessee Heritage Protection Act passes, blocking local governments from removing Confederate monuments .
2017	Major removals including those in New Orleans, Baltimore, Chapel Hill, and Madison occur as campuses and cities begin reevaluating Confederate symbols .
2018	Over 110 Confederate monuments removed since 2015; New Mexico removes Jefferson Davis Highway markers .
2019	Launch of *The 1619 Project* by *NYT* . Florida repeals “Maryland, My Maryland” -–. |
2020	George Floyd protests lead to mass removals (Washington, Lincoln, Columbus statues); multiple figures toppled (en.wikipedia.org).
2021	EO 13985 mandates Equity Action Plans across federal agencies ; DOE proposes grants referencing 1619 Project; Emancipation Memorial and other statues removed. |
2022	DEI-related spending in education surpasses **$1 billion**; Pulitzer Center distributes *1619 Project* materials to 4,500 classrooms (en.wikipedia.org).
2023	Multiple U.S. military bases are renamed (Fort Benning → Fort Moore, etc.) .
2024	DOE allocates **$23 million** for civics education influenced by DEI frameworks .

Appendix I: Contextual Timeline

Year	Event
2015	~110 Confederate monuments removed post-Charleston shooting.
2016	June: Sacramento riot – Antifa, BAMN counter-protest neo-Nazi rally, 10 injured (en.wikipedia.org).
2017	Feb–Sep: Berkeley protests – Antifa and left groups clash with Milo/alt-right demonstrators .
2019	*The 1619 Project* launched by *NYT*.
2020	- George Floyd protests: BLM mobilizes nationwide, CHOP/CHAZ autonomous zones emerge in Seattle (June) . - Antifa present in street-level organizing, mutual aid, confrontations (en.wikipedia.org).
2021	- EO 13985 signed. - No Kings protests begin mid-June: decentralized movement with some Antifa “winking” . - Federal funds tied to 1619 Project. |
2022	Florida enacts Stop WOKE Act; DEI education spending tops $1 billion.
2023	Federal agencies rename military bases; Arkansas bans CRT via executive order.
2024	11th Circuit affirms injunction on Stop WOKE Act; DOE allocates $23 million for DEI-influenced civics.

Appendix J: Further Reading

Title	Author	Summary	Snippet
The Roots of American Order	Russell Kirk	This foundational work traces the philosophical and religious influences ”from Jerusalem, Athens, Rome, and London ”that gave rise to American constitutionalism. Kirk argues that America's moral and legal traditions are rooted in ordered liberty and must be preserved to withstand ideological subversion.	Without order, there is no liberty, and without liberty, order becomes tyranny.
Modern Times	Paul Johnson	Johnson provides a sweeping history of the 20th century, demonstrating how totalitarian ideologies, moral relativism, and secularism led to mass suffering. The book critiques socialism and revolutionary politics as destabilizing forces that subvert human dignity and historical continuity.	The liberal elite was frequently willing to support the totalitarian cause, provided it cloaked itself in progressive language.
Ideas Have Consequences	Richard Weaver	A philosophical classic warning that the decline of objective truth leads to cultural disintegration. Weaver critiques relativism, collectivism, and progressive education, arguing that abandoning transcendent moral values opens the door to revolutionary chaos.	Every man participating in a culture has three levels of conscious reflection: his specific ideas about things, his general beliefs, and his metaphysical dream.
The Vision of the Anointed	Thomas Sowell	Sowell deconstructs the worldview of elite progressive thinkers ‘the anointed ‘who impose social theories that ignore evidence and consequence. He demonstrates how revolutionary utopianism often causes more harm than the problems it claims to solve.	Facts are seldom allowed to contaminate the beautiful vision of the anointed.
Race Marxism	James Lindsay	Lindsay presents a scathing critique of Critical Race Theory, identifying it as a form of neo-Marxism that uses race instead of class to divide society. He explains its intellectual roots, its revolutionary aims, and how it seeks to capture institutions through ideology.	Critical Race Theory is not about justice it’s about power through manipulation.
America’s Cultural Revolution	Christopher Rufo	Rufo exposes how radical leftist ideologies infiltrated America’s elite institutions universities, corporations, and government agencies. Drawing on deep investigative research,	The revolution didn’t start in the streets it started in the seminar room.

		he explains how revolutionaries embedded CRT, gender theory, and DEI into policy and culture.	
The War on the West	Douglas Murray	Murray examines how Western history, values, and heroes are being systematically dismantled. He argues this cultural self-flagellation serves revolutionary ends: to delegitimize democratic liberalism and replace it with grievance-based politics.	We are told to tear down everything without any sense of what to build in its place.
Irreversible Damage	Abigail Shrier	Shrier investigates the sudden rise of gender dysphoria among adolescent girls, linking it to ideological capture of education, media, and healthcare. She warns of the irreversible effects of promoting radical gender theory without scientific rigor or parental consent.	These girls are not 'born in the wrong body they are being led astray by a social contagion.
The Authoritarian Moment	Ben Shapiro	Shapiro argues that progressives now hold cultural and institutional power and use it to silence dissent and enforce conformity. He warns that cancel culture, media bias, and corporate activism reflect an emergent left-wing authoritarianism.	Authoritarianism doesn't always come with jackboots it often comes with hashtags and HR departments.

Appendix K: Expanded Bios of Referenced Individuals

Russell Kirk: Russell Kirk (1918–1994) was an American political theorist, historian, and author of *The Conservative Mind*, which helped define post-WWII American conservatism. He emphasized moral order, tradition, and the enduring value of Western civilization.

Paul Johnson: Paul Johnson (1928–2023) was a British historian, journalist, and author known for works like *Modern Times* and *A History of the American People*. A former leftist turned staunch conservative, Johnson was known for his sweeping historical narratives grounded in moral and cultural judgment.

Richard Weaver: Richard Weaver (1910–1963) was an American scholar and author of *Ideas Have Consequences*. A traditionalist conservative, he argued that Western civilization was in decline due to relativism, loss of metaphysical truths, and the abandonment of classical education.

Thomas Sowell: Thomas Sowell (b. 1930) is an American economist, political commentator, and senior fellow at the Hoover Institution. He is known for his rigorous critiques of social justice movements, affirmative action, and economic myths. His books include *Basic Economics* and *The Vision of the Anointed*.

James Lindsay: James Lindsay (b. 1979) is an American author and critic of critical theory and identity politics. He co-authored *Cynical Theories* and runs the New Discourses platform, where he analyzes leftist ideologies through a philosophical and historical lens.

Christopher Rufo: Christopher Rufo is an American activist, filmmaker, and senior fellow at the Manhattan Institute. He is widely known for exposing the influence of Critical Race Theory and DEI initiatives in public institutions through investigative reporting and advocacy.

Douglas Murray: Douglas Murray (b. 1979) is a British political commentator, journalist, and author of *The Madness of Crowds* and *The War on the West*. He critiques identity politics, cultural relativism, and the abandonment of Western values in academia and media.

Abigail Shrier: Abigail Shrier is an American journalist and author of *Irreversible Damage*, a controversial book on gender ideology and the rise in adolescent girls identifying as transgender. She writes frequently on issues of free speech and cultural shifts in liberal democracies.

Ben Shapiro: Ben Shapiro (b. 1984) is an American political commentator, lawyer, and founder of The Daily Wire. Known for his debates and writings on conservatism, individual liberty, and cultural issues, he is a vocal critic of progressive ideology in education and media.

Jeff A. Pierson: Jeff A. Pierson is an independent researcher and the author of *Changing History: Revolutionary Influences on Historical Narratives in the United States*. His work critiques modern historical revisionism and the institutionalization of ideological frameworks in American education and culture.

Mary Grabar: Mary Grabar is a scholar and author known for her work *Debunking Howard Zinn*, in which she critiques Zinn's influence on American history education. She is a fellow at the Alexander Hamilton Institute and a leading voice against progressive historical revisionism.

Howard Zinn: Howard Zinn (1922–2010) was an American historian, playwright, and social activist. His book *A People's History of the United States* presents U.S. history from the perspective of marginalized groups, and has been both influential and controversial in education.

Rod Dreher: Rod Dreher is an American author and columnist known for *Live Not by Lies* and *The Benedict Option*. He critiques authoritarianism, cultural decline, and the suppression of dissent in Western societies, especially from a Christian conservative viewpoint.

Julie Kelly: Julie Kelly is a political commentator and senior writer at American Greatness. She has written extensively on the January 6 Capitol riot and the DOJ's prosecution of protesters, often criticizing media bias and governmental overreach.

Tucker Carlson: Tucker Carlson is an American conservative television host and political commentator. Formerly of Fox News, he is known for his critiques of mainstream media, government overreach, and progressive ideology.

Peter Boghossian: Peter Boghossian is an American philosopher and educator known for his criticism of postmodern ideology and social justice activism in academia. He co-authored *Cynical Theories* and was part of the 'Grievance Studies Affair' to expose ideological bias in academic publishing.

Ibram X. Kendi: Ibram X. Kendi is an American author and professor known for his work on antiracism, particularly *How to Be an Antiracist*. He advocates for policies that explicitly address racial inequities and has had major influence on DEI programs in education.

Nikole Hannah-Jones: Nikole Hannah-Jones is a Pulitzer Prize-winning journalist and the creator of *The 1619 Project*. She focuses on the legacy of slavery in the United States and has played a central role in reframing American history through a racial lens.

Junípero Serra: Junípero Serra (1713–1784) was a Spanish Franciscan priest who founded several missions in California during the Spanish colonization of the Americas. He is a controversial figure, seen by some as a saint and by others as a symbol of colonial oppression.

George Washington: George Washington (1732–1799) was the first President of the United States and commander of the Continental Army during the American Revolution. He is often called the 'Father of His Country' and remains a central figure in U.S. history.

Thomas Jefferson: Thomas Jefferson (1743–1826) was the principal author of the Declaration of Independence and the third President of the United States. A complex figure, he advocated for liberty while also owning slaves.

Abraham Lincoln: Abraham Lincoln (1809–1865) was the 16th President of the United States and led the nation through the Civil War. He is credited with preserving the Union and abolishing slavery through the Emancipation Proclamation and the 13th Amendment.

Ulysses S. Grant: Ulysses S. Grant (1822–1885) was the commanding general of the Union Army during the Civil War and later became the 18th President of the United States. His presidency focused on Reconstruction and civil rights for freed slaves.

Christopher Columbus: Christopher Columbus (1451–1506) was an Italian explorer who completed four voyages across the Atlantic Ocean, opening the way for widespread European exploration of the Americas. He is a controversial figure due to his treatment of indigenous peoples.

John C. Calhoun: John C. Calhoun (1782–1850) was a U.S. Vice President, senator, and advocate for states' rights and slavery. He is often cited as one of the intellectual architects of Southern secession.

Woodrow Wilson: Woodrow Wilson (1856–1924) was the 28th President of the United States and a leader during World War I. Though he promoted internationalism, his domestic policies and views on race have drawn increasing criticism.

Kyle Rittenhouse: Kyle Rittenhouse is an American who was acquitted of all charges in a high-profile self-defense case following the 2020 Kenosha unrest. The case became a flashpoint in national debates over gun rights, race, and justice.

Hunter Biden: Hunter Biden is the son of President Joe Biden. He has been at the center of political controversy related to business dealings and a personal laptop, which became a focal point in debates over media bias and government transparency.

Appendix L: Dictionary of Key ProgressiveTerms

1619 Project: A project launched by *The New York Times* aiming to reframe U.S. history by placing the consequences of slavery and Black contributions at the center of the national narrative.

Activist-Centered Instruction: A teaching method that emphasizes activism and social justice over traditional scholarly objectivity or primary source engagement.

Anti-Racism: An active and ongoing process of identifying and opposing racism, often linked to authors like Ibram X. Kendi; positions neutrality as complicity.

Centering Voices: A strategy used in DEI work to prioritize the perspectives of oppressed or minority identities in discussions and decisions.

Cisgender / Cisnormativity: Terms used in gender discourse to describe non-trans individuals and the assumption that cisgender identity is the norm.

Climate Justice: A term linking environmental issues with social justice, arguing that marginalized groups are disproportionately harmed by climate change.

Collective Liberation: A concept asserting that true freedom can only be achieved when all oppressed groups are liberated together.

Critical Race Theory (CRT): An academic framework that examines society and law through the lens of race and systemic power structures. Often controversial in educational settings.

Cultural Displacement: The process by which dominant cultural norms or historical narratives are replaced with ideologically driven alternatives.

Decolonization / Decolonize: An academic and activist approach aimed at undoing perceived colonial legacies in curricula, institutions, and culture.

Defund the Police: A slogan and policy demand to reallocate funding from police departments to community-based social programs and alternatives.

DEI: Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion a framework promoted in institutions to address systemic disparities, often critiqued for emphasizing group identity over individual merit.

Dissent Suppression: Efforts to silence or punish those who question dominant or institutionalized ideological frameworks.

Dog Whistle: A coded or subtle political message that conveys one meaning to the general public but a more specific or controversial meaning to a targeted subgroup.

Equity: A central progressive value emphasizing outcome parity across demographic groups, often used in contrast to 'equality of opportunity.'

Equity Action Plan: A strategic plan implemented in institutions to restructure systems around equity outcomes, often tied to Executive Order 13985.

Equity Lens: A framework used in public policy and education to evaluate systems and decisions through a lens of equity and justice.

Gender-Affirming: Language used to describe policies and treatments that support and validate a person's gender identity.

Grievance Politics: A political strategy focused on mobilizing identity groups around historical or systemic injustices.

Harm Reduction: A strategy focused on minimizing the negative consequences of behavior (e.g., drug use) rather than enforcing punishment.

Historical Erasure: The act of removing, obscuring, or altering historical records or remembrance, often for political or ideological purposes.

Ideological Capture: When institutions are dominated by a single ideological perspective, often leading to lack of pluralism and free discourse.

Ideological Conformity: The expectation or enforcement of uniformity in beliefs and language within educational or professional environments.

Inclusive Language: The practice of using words and phrases intended to avoid exclusion or offense to identity groups.

Intersectionality: A framework for understanding overlapping and interdependent systems of discrimination or disadvantage.

Lived Experience: A term used to emphasize personal and identity-based perspectives as authoritative in understanding social justice or systemic harm.

Marginalized Communities: Groups that are considered socially, economically, or politically disadvantaged due to historical or systemic inequities.

Memory-holing: A term derived from Orwell's *1984*, referring to the practice of erasing or rewriting historical facts to align with current ideological narratives.

Microaggression: A small, often unintentional comment or action that is perceived as offensive or discriminatory toward a marginalized group.

Mutual Aid: Grassroots support networks that operate outside formal institutions, rooted in anarchist and leftist traditions.

Narrative Control: The strategic shaping or suppression of information to promote a particular ideological or political interpretation of events.

Narrative Warfare: The strategic use of media, academia, and policy to shape societal understanding and memory of historical and cultural events.

Oppressor vs. Oppressed: A binary lens often used in Marxist and critical theory frameworks to analyze societal power dynamics.

Patriarchy: A social system in which men hold primary power, often critiqued by feminist and progressive theorists for maintaining systemic gender inequality.

Public Space Cleansing: The removal of statues, plaques, and symbols associated with historical figures deemed offensive by progressive or revolutionary movements.

Restorative Justice: A practice focused on repairing harm through inclusive, community-based processes that often replace punitive discipline.

Restorative Practices: Applied models of restorative justice used in schools and workplaces for conflict resolution and social repair.

Revolutionary Revisionism: The rewriting of historical facts and narratives to fit revolutionary or progressive ideological objectives.

Safe Space: Designated environments intended to be free from bias, conflict, or triggering content, often found in academic or activist settings.

Social Justice: An umbrella term encompassing reforms in race, gender, climate, economics, and other areas through a progressive lens.

Soft Authoritarianism: The imposition of ideological conformity not through overt force but via institutional pressures and cultural coercion.

Systemic Oppression: A framework suggesting that social institutions perpetuate discrimination against marginalized groups.

Systemic Racism: A foundational belief in CRT that racism is embedded within societal structures, institutions, and norms.

Transformative Justice: A model focused on systemic change and non-carceral responses to harm and injustice, especially within marginalized communities.

Trigger Warning: A notice given before content that may be emotionally disturbing or offensive, often used in education or media.

White Privilege: The idea that white individuals benefit from unearned advantages due to systemic racism embedded in society.

Appendix M:Terms Used to Identify Conservatives, Right-Wingers, or Traditionalists

Alt-Right
Originally used to describe a loose set of far-right ideologies, often associated with online activism and nationalism. The term has since been weaponized in media and academia to conflate mainstream conservatives with white supremacist or extremist views.
Primarily used by critics; generally pejorative.

America First
A slogan emphasizing national interest and sovereignty, often associated with Donald Trump's political movement. Supporters use it as a patriotic rallying cry; critics associate it with isolationism or xenophobia.
Used by supporters and critics; contested meaning.

Christian Nationalist
Used to describe individuals who advocate for a political system based on Christian values or identity. Critics use the term to suggest a theocratic or anti-pluralist agenda.
Used by academics, journalists, and progressive commentators; often pejorative.

Classical Liberal
A self-description often adopted by conservatives or centrists who emphasize individual liberty, free markets, and limited government.
Self-adopted; generally neutral to positive within right-leaning circles.

Conservative
A broad ideological label denoting support for traditional institutions, limited government, free enterprise, national sovereignty, and individual liberty.
Widely accepted self-identifier; also used neutrally or critically by others depending on context.

Constitutionalist
Someone who claims a strict or originalist interpretation of the U.S. Constitution. Often used by political conservatives to emphasize fidelity to founding principles.
Self-adopted; occasionally used pejoratively by critics.

Deplorables
A pejorative term popularized when Hillary Clinton referred to some Trump supporters as a "basket of deplorables." The term was later adopted ironically by many conservatives as a badge of honor.
Originally pejorative; sometimes reclaimed.

Far-Right
Used to describe individuals or groups seen as extremely conservative, often beyond mainstream conservatism. Can encompass nationalists, anti-globalists, or conspiratorial thinkers.
Used by media, academia, and progressives; usually pejorative.

Liberty-Minded
A term used by some conservatives, libertarians, and constitutionalists to emphasize a focus on civil liberties and limited government.
Self-adopted; positive connotation in right-leaning circles.

MAGA (Make America Great Again)
Refers to supporters of Donald Trump and his political movement. Seen by supporters as a pro-America slogan; critics use it to associate with populism or perceived authoritarianism.
Used by both supporters and critics; meaning varies by context.

Nationalist
Used both as a neutral or self-adopted term (emphasizing patriotism and national sovereignty) and as a pejorative label associated with xenophobia or authoritarianism.
Heavily context-dependent; can be neutral or pejorative.

Patriot
A self-adopted term by many conservatives and veterans emphasizing love of country, tradition, and constitutional values.
Self-identifying; viewed positively within the movement.

Populist
Describes political figures or movements claiming to represent the common people against elite structures. Can be used favorably or unfavorably depending on political alignment.
Used by both supporters and critics; context dependent.

Rad Trad (short for "Radical Traditionalist")
A term used both inside and outside conservative Catholic and traditionalist Christian circles to describe adherence to pre-modern liturgy, gender roles, or social norms.
Used by both insiders and critics; can be pejorative or ironic.

Reactionary
Used to label individuals who resist or oppose progressive social change, often in a derogatory way to suggest regressive thinking.
Primarily used by critics or academics; pejorative.

Right-Wing Extremist
A generalized term for individuals or groups accused of harboring violent, conspiratorial, or racist ideologies. Frequently used in government reports and media coverage.
Used by law enforcement, media, and activists; strongly pejorative.

Right-Winger
A broad label for someone on the political right. Can be neutral or mildly pejorative depending on the speaker.
Common across discourse; tone varies by context.

Traditionalist

Describes individuals who support longstanding cultural or religious norms and resist modern secular or progressive changes.

Self-adopted in religious or cultural contexts; neutral or positive.

Ultra-Conservative

Used to describe someone seen as more extreme or rigid than the average conservative.

Used mostly by critics or media; often pejorative.

Appendix N: Comparative Definition of Terms Adopted by Revolutionaries and Leftists

Term	Original Definition (Conservative/Constitutionalist)	Redefined Usage (Progressive/Revolutionary)	Strategic Commentary
Justice	Fair, impartial application of law based on individual rights and accountability	Social justice group-based redress of historical inequity, sometimes bypassing due process	Shifts moral focus from individual guilt to collective historical grievance
Equality	Equal treatment under the law; opportunity without preference	Equity equality of *outcomes*, often requiring preferential treatment based on identity	Replaces fairness with parity; undermines neutrality in law or policy
Liberty	Freedom from state coercion and the right to live by one's conscience	Liberation from cultural norms or systemic barriers; may require enforced conformity or censorship	"Freedom to" vs. "freedom from"; liberty becomes collectivized rather than individual
Democracy	Constitutional republic, protected rights, and representation under law	Progressive outcomes = democracy; dissent = "anti-democratic"	Democracy becomes a rhetorical device rather than a system of limits and accountability

Rights	Inalienable, God-given/natural (e.g., speech, property, conscience)	Positive rights entitlements provided by the state (e.g., healthcare, affirmation, inclusion)	Expands state's role while diluting individual autonomy
Patriotism	Loyalty to constitutional values, nationhood, and founding principles	Dissent or protest seen as "true patriotism"	Subverts traditional loyalty; valorizes resistance over reverence
Rule of Law	Objective, impartial legal standards equally applied	Lived experience and identity context determine justice outcomes	Undermines equal treatment; privileges identity over legality
Tolerance	Peaceful coexistence with opposing ideas and lifestyles	Demands ideological affirmation; disagreement = harm or hate	"Tolerance" now excludes dissent from the dominant progressive framework
Inclusion	Welcoming of diverse individuals without compromising core values or standards	Mandatory representation based on identity; often at odds with merit or pluralism	Enforced quotas and cultural realignment under guise of fairness
Freedom of Speech	Right to express views, even offensive ones, without government interference	Reframed as harmful or "violent" if it challenges protected groups; often censored on platforms	Speech becomes conditional; expression replaced by compelled speech or silence

Education	Acquisition of knowledge, critical thinking, and civic literacy	Activist-centered instruction aimed at consciousness raising and systemic critique	Replaces inquiry with indoctrination; shifts authority from truth to ideology
Diversity	Natural variation in background, thought, or experience	Demographic representation prioritized over viewpoint diversity	Co-opted into a tool for ideological enforcement
Empowerment	Personal agency, moral responsibility, and self-governance	Group identity empowerment often rooted in victimhood or dependency	Flips resilience into dependency; cultivates systemic grievance
Community	Voluntary networks built on trust, shared experience, and localism	Ideological group identity used to justify policy (e.g., "Black community demands…")	Becomes a rhetorical shield for unaccountable claims
Violence	Physical harm, threats, or destruction	Expanded to include microaggressions, speech, non-affirmation (while sometimes minimizing actual riots or destruction)	Distorts public discourse; used to justify censorship or redefine moral boundaries

Appendix O: Profiles of Prominent Leftist and Revolutionary Groups

Black Lives Matter (BLM)

- **Mission & Scope**: A decentralized movement striving to end police brutality and systemic racism against Black communities.
- **Methods**: Street protests, policy advocacy, local chapters, coalitions with broader racial justice efforts.
- **Structure**: Loose network; local autonomy with some overarching committees.
- **Impact**: Elevated racial equity debates, influenced police reform efforts, and reshaped broader cultural institutions.

Antifa

- **Mission & Scope**: A loose, anti-fascist, anti-white supremacy movement not structured as a formal group.
- **Methods**: Direct action including counter-protests, sometimes confrontational tactics along with mutual aid and digital campaigns.
- **Structure**: No formal hierarchy; emerges from city-level collectives.
- **Impact**: Central in counter-mobilizations at far-right events; widely debated due to confrontational methods.

Sunrise Movement

- **Mission & Scope**: Youth-driven climate justice advocacy promoting a Green New Deal.
- **Methods**: Direct actions (e.g., sit-ins, “Wide Awake” campaigns), local hubs, targeted endorsements, and electoral efforts.

- **Structure**: Hybrid national strategy with over 400 local hubs; recognized as a 501(c)(3)/(c)(4) organization politico.com+5sunrisemovement.org+5en.wikipedia.org+5washingtonpost.comtheguardian.com+3en.wikipedia.org+3vox.com+3.
- **Impact**: Shifted climate policy norms, influenced lawmaker positions, and helped the Green New Deal enter mainstream politics.

Indivisible

- **Mission & Scope**: Preserves democracy and resists authoritarian shifts, especially since Trump's election.
- **Methods**: Organized through grassroots chapters (~1,200–2,000 nationwide), offers training calls, protest guidance, PAC affiliations .
- **Structure**: National nonprofit with local autonomy, linked PAC, highly networked.
- **Impact**: Mobilized resistance tactics modeled after Tea Party infrastructure; central in anti-Trump protest waves oxy.edu+6sunrisemovement.org+6sunrisemovement.org+6theguardian.com.

MoveOn

- **Mission & Scope**: A mass digital and grassroots advocacy organization supporting progressive policy and Democratic candidates since 1998.
- **Methods**: Email petitions, online campaigns, PAC endorsements, lobbying.
- **Structure**: Digital-first with a large membership base; non-profit and PAC entities en.wikipedia.org.
- **Impact**: Shaped public discourse through online activism; influenced electoral outcomes, including Biden–Harris.

Justice Democrats

- **Mission & Scope**: Elect a progressive Democratic caucus by avoiding corporate PACs and prioritizing working-class representation.
- **Methods**: Endorses and campaigns for candidates who refuse big-money contributions; originated from Bernie campaign staff.
- **Structure**: PAC and caucus; works within Democratic primaries theguardian.com+9en.wikipedia.org+9oxy.edu+9.
- **Impact**: Backed members of "The Squad" (AOC, Ilhan Omar, etc.); instrumental in promoting anti-establishment progressives.

Democratic Socialists of America (DSA)

- **Mission & Scope**: Build a mass-based socialist movement through electoral and labor organizing.
- **Methods**: Endorses candidates, grassroots campaigns, issue-based coalitions, public protests.
- **Structure**: Membership-based federation (~78,000 members in 2024); national and local chapters en.wikipedia.org.
- **Impact**: Grew after 2016, helped elect municipal and state legislators (e.g., Chicago aldermen, NY State Assembly members), prominent in protests (e.g., Gaza ceasefires) .

Third Act Movement

- **Mission & Scope**: Mobilize people aged 60+ to defend democracy, voting rights, and climate action.
- **Methods**: Advocacy campaigns, grassroots organizing like "No Kings" protest days, voter engagement .

- **Structure**: National group founded in 2021 by Bill McKibben; membership-focused.
- **Impact**: Injects senior voices into activism; supports broader progressive coalition actions.

Why This Matters

- **Organizational Reach**: These groups are multi-pronged combining grassroots movement work, electoral politics, and policy advocacy.
- **Tactic Versatility**: Ranges from digital petitions (MoveOn) to confrontational direct action (Antifa, Sunrise, BLM).
- **Policy Influence**: They've shifted the Overton window on housing, climate, policing, and more. Many helped mainstream policies like the Green New Deal.
- **Electoral Stakes**: Groups like Justice Democrats, DSA, and Sunrise are directly cultivating legislative candidates, influencing primaries and policy platforms.
- **Narrative & Ideological Frames**: These movements use concepts like "climate justice," "mutual aid," and "systemic change" to shape public discourse.

Appendix P: Current (2025) Strategic Briefing on Leftist Organizations, Comparative Analysis, and Strategic Reccomendations

Antifa

- **Objective**: Counter right-wing and fascist movements using direct action.
- **Tactics**: Decentralized cells; confrontational protests, cyber-doxing, property damage.
- **Narrative Strategy**: Frames itself as morally justified by "anti-fascist" resistance.
- **Vulnerability**: Lack of structure and violent optics often backfire; conservatives can leverage public discomfort with chaos and lawlessness.

Black Lives Matter (BLM)

- **Objective**: End systemic racism and police violence.
- **Tactics**: Mass protest, viral social media, policy pressure.
- **Narrative Strategy**: Leverages emotive language ("Say their names"), historic grievances, and institutional guilt.
- **Vulnerability**: Scandals around financial transparency, anti-nuclear family rhetoric, and association with Marxist ideologies weaken public trust.

Democratic Socialists of America (DSA)

- **Objective**: Democratic socialism via labor, climate, and racial justice.
- **Tactics**: Endorses candidates, union collaboration, community organizing.
- **Narrative Strategy**: Reframes socialism as compassionate and people-powered.
- **Vulnerability**: Limited appeal outside urban hubs; lacks fiscal credibility and often alienates centrists.

Sunrise Movement

- **Objective**: Climate justice; promotion of Green New Deal.
- **Tactics**: Non-violent disruption (sit-ins), media-savvy activism, primarying moderates.
- **Narrative Strategy**: Leverages urgency ("climate apocalypse") and youth legitimacy.
- **Vulnerability**: Seen as extreme or impractical by moderates and working-class voters; energy policy overreach can be exploited.

Indivisible

- **Objective**: Mobilize voters and activists against authoritarianism (especially Trumpism).
- **Tactics**: Local chapters, protest scripts, PAC-backed endorsements.
- **Narrative Strategy**: "Protect democracy from the right"; often draws historical parallels (fascism, McCarthyism).
- **Vulnerability**: Echo-chambered tactics; often reactive rather than visionary. Not seen as organic outside political elites.

Comparative Analysis

Category	**Progressive/Leftist Groups**	**Conservative/Libertarian Counterparts**	**Observations**
Structure	Grassroots + NGO/501(c)4 hybrids	Decentralized + policy shops (e.g., Heritage, TPUSA)	Left often blends activism + institutions; right leans toward think tanks.
Tactics	Direct action, social disruption, narrative framing	Legal reform, media commentary, grassroots meetups	Left is more confrontational; right more policy/legal-focused.

Narrative Control	Uses media, academia, and art to shape norms	Focus on talk radio, podcasts, alt-media (e.g., Daily Wire)	Left controls legacy institutions; right builds parallel platforms.
Recruitment Demographics	Youth, academics, minorities, urban populations	Small business owners, parents, religious, rural voters	Each side appeals to different life stages and economic classes.
Core Ideology	Anti-capitalist, identity-based, collectivist	Free market, constitutionalism, individual liberty	Deeply conflicting values: group rights vs. individual rights.
Policy Goals	Universal healthcare, Green New Deal, equity mandates	School choice, tax reform, deregulation, religious liberty	Conservatives focus on limits; progressives on expansion.
Weaknesses	Overreach, moral absolutism, echo chambers	Fragmentation, messaging gaps, youth alienation	Both suffer from insularity and misreading the center.

Strategic Recommendations

1. **Reclaim Moral Language**
    - Frame liberty, accountability, and family as moral goods not just legal ones.
    - Use terms like *earned dignity*, *reciprocity*, *rooted community*, and *moral economy*.
2. **Engage Youth Creatively**
    - Don't just criticize "wokeness" offer vision. Highlight stories of resilience, self-improvement, and entrepreneurial success.
    - Launch campus campaigns using humor and parody to defuse progressive orthodoxy.
3. **Expose Institutional Capture**

- Investigate and publish how DEI bureaucracies reshape universities, HR, and media.
- Use FOIAs and transparency campaigns to show the funding and influence pipelines.

4. **Promote Alternative Systems**
    - Show how charter schools, mutual aid rooted in church communities, and private solutions outperform state-run models.
    - Use data-backed storytelling not just ideology.
5. **Create Cross-Issue Coalitions**
    - Collaborate with disaffected liberals (e.g., parents against gender ideology, civil libertarians).
    - Emphasize shared values: free speech, due process, merit.

Appendix Q: Relevant Policies, Statutes, and Legislative Actions Impacting U.S. History Education and Public Memory

---

I. Federal Executive Orders and Initiatives

Executive Order 13985 (2021)

Title: Advancing Racial Equity and Support for Underserved Communities Through the Federal Government
Summary: Directs federal agencies to embed equity into all policy and funding decisions. Promotes grant prioritization based on racial equity and support for underserved communities.
Source: https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/presidential-actions/2021/01/20/executive-order-advancing-racial-equity/

Executive Order 14035 (2021)

Title: Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, and Accessibility in the Federal Workforce
Summary: Establishes DEIA strategic plans for federal agencies. Reinforces DEI training and restructuring of agency culture.
Source: https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/presidential-actions/2021/06/25/executive-order-on-diversity-equity-inclusion-and-accessibility-in-the-federal-workforce/

Department of Education (2021) – Proposed Rule on American History and Civics Education

Summary: Proposes prioritization of grant applications promoting racially and culturally responsive teaching. Frequently cited 1619 Project and CRT-aligned materials.
Source: https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2021/04/19/2021-08068

II. State Legislation Targeting CRT, DEI, and Historical Narrative Reform

Florida House Bill 7 (2022) – "Stop WOKE Act"

Summary: Prohibits teachings that promote guilt or discomfort based on race, sex, or national origin in K–12 and workplace training. Targets CRT concepts and mandates ideological neutrality.
Effective: July 2022 (legal challenges ongoing)
Source: https://www.flsenate.gov/Session/Bill/2022/7

Florida Senate Bill 266 (2023) / House Bill 999

Summary: Bans state funding for DEI programs in higher education. Restructures curricula to eliminate critical race and gender theory.
Effective: July 2023
Source: https://www.flsenate.gov/Session/Bill/2023/266

Arkansas House Bill 1218 (2021) – Withdrawn

Summary: Would have banned public school curricula that promote division or guilt based on race or gender. Though withdrawn, it influenced policy trends nationally.
Source: https://arkleg.state.ar.us/Bills/Detail?ddBienniumSession=2021%2F2021R&id=HB1218

Tennessee HB 580 / SB 623 (2021)

Summary: Bans teaching that one race or sex is inherently superior, or that individuals are responsible for past actions by members of the same race or sex. Applies to K–12 education.
Source: https://wapp.capitol.tn.gov/apps/BillInfo/Default.aspx?BillNumber=HB0580

Texas Senate Bill 3 (2021)

Summary: Restricts how topics like race and history can be taught in K–12. Limits use of the 1619 Project and mandates discussion of founding documents.
Source: https://capitol.texas.gov/BillLookup/History.aspx?LegSess=872&Bill=SB3

III. Public Monument and Naming Reforms

National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) for FY 2021 – Section 370

Summary: Mandated renaming of military bases and assets commemorating Confederate figures. Led to creation of the Naming Commission.
Source: https://www.congress.gov/bill/116th-congress/senate-bill/4049/text

U.S. Naming Commission Final Report (2023)

Summary: Recommended renaming nine military installations and hundreds of assets linked to the Confederacy.
Source: https://www.defense.gov/News/Releases/Release/Article/3253517/naming-commission-final-report-on-confederate-names/

Southern Poverty Law Center – "Whose Heritage?" Report (2018–2023)

Summary: Tracks over 200 Confederate monuments removed or renamed since 2015. Used as a national benchmark for monument removal.
Source: https://www.splcenter.org/20180604/whose-heritage-public-symbols-confederacy

IV. International and NGO Influence

United Nations Sustainable Development Goal 4

Summary: Encourages education for sustainable development, gender equality, and global citizenship. Often cited by DEI advocates as a foundational framework.
Source: https://sdgs.un.org/goals/goal4

W.K. Kellogg Foundation – TRHT (Truth, Racial Healing, and Transformation)

Summary: Funds initiatives aligned with racial equity and historical recontextualization in U.S. schools and communities.

Supported through grants and curriculum tools.

Source: https://www.wkkf.org/what-we-do/featured-work/truth-racial-healing-transformation

Pulitzer Center – 1619 Project Curriculum Distribution

Summary: Distributes companion curricula for the 1619 Project to thousands of schools nationwide. Supported by grants and advocacy organizations.

Source: https://pulitzercenter.org/education/the-1619-project-curriculum

Appendix R: Citations

1. Boghossian, P., Lindsay, J., & Pluckrose, H. (2018). *Academic grievance studies and the corruption of scholarship*. Areo Magazine. https://areomagazine.com/2018/10/02/academic-grievance-studies-and-the-corruption-of-scholarship/
2. Carlson, T. (2022, October 18). On censorship and media bias [broadcast segment]. *Tucker Carlson Tonight*, Fox News. https://www.foxnews.com/shows/tucker-carlson-tonight
3. Communities Foundation of Texas. (2023). *TRHT: Truth, Racial Healing & Transformation overview and funding partners*. https://cftexas.org/collaborate/community-impact/truth-racial-healing-and-transformation
4. Dreher, R. (2020). *Live not by lies: A manual for Christian dissidents*. Sentinel.
5. Executive Order No. 13985, 3 C.F.R. 13985 (2021). *Advancing racial equity and support for underserved communities through the federal government*. https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/presidential-actions/2021/01/20/executive-order-advancing-racial-equity/
6. Generation Citizen. (2023). *Action Civics: Program model and national reach*. https://generationcitizen.org/programs/action-civics/
7. Grabar, M. (2019). *Debunking Howard Zinn: Exposing the fake history that turned a generation against America*. Regnery History.
8. Hannah-Jones, N. (2019, August). *The 1619 Project*. *The New York Times Magazine*. https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2019/08/14/magazine/1619-america-slavery.html
9. Katz, B. (2018, February 1). At least 110 Confederate monuments and symbols have been removed since 2015. *Smithsonian Magazine*. https://www.smithsonianmag.com/smart-news/least-110-confederate-monuments-and-symbols-have-been-removed-2015-180967837/
10. Kendi, I. X. (2019). *How to be an antiracist*. One World.
11. Lindsay, J., Pluckrose, H., & Boghossian, P. (2020). *Cynical theories: How activist scholarship made everything about race, gender, and identity—and why this harms everybody*. Pitchstone Publishing.
12. National Archives and Records Administration. (2023). *Final naming commission report: Military bases renamed*. https://www.archives.gov/research/military/naming-commission

13. National Endowment for the Humanities. (2021). *Equity-focused grant programs and curriculum initiatives*. https://www.neh.gov/news/neh-announces-24-million-equity-focused-education-projects
14. Pulitzer Center. (2022). *1619 Project: Curriculum distribution and educational resources*. https://pulitzercenter.org/education/the-1619-project-curriculum
15. Rufo, C. F. (2021). *Race Marxism: The truth about Critical Race Theory and praxis*. Rufo Institute Press.
16. Santayana, G. (1905). *The life of reason: Or the phases of human progress* (Vol. 1). Charles Scribner's Sons.
17. Shrier, A. (2020). *Irreversible damage: The transgender craze seducing our daughters*. Regnery Publishing.
18. Southern Poverty Law Center. (2018). *Whose heritage? Public symbols of the Confederacy*. https://www.splcenter.org/20180604/whose-heritage-public-symbols-confederacy
19. The 74 Million. (2021, April). *States restricting use of the 1619 Project in schools*. https://www.the74million.org/article/states-target-the-1619-project-in-efforts-to-ban-critical-race-theory/
20. U.S. Department of Education. (2021, April). *Proposed priorities: American History and Civics Education—Proposed rule on grant priorities*. https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2021/04/19/2021-08068
21. United Nations. (2022). *Sustainable Development Goal 4: Quality education*. https://sdgs.un.org/goals/goal4
22. Wikipedia contributors. (2023). *Berkeley protests (2017)*. *Wikipedia*. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Berkeley_protests
23. W.K. Kellogg Foundation. (2021). *Truth, Racial Healing, and Transformation (TRHT) implementation report*. https://www.wkkf.org/what-we-do/featured-work/truth-racial-healing-transformation
24. Wood, G. S. (2020, March 9). *Critique of the 1619 Project*. *American Historical Review* (interview summary via AHA). https://www.historians.org/publications-and-directories/perspectives-on-history/march-2020/the-historians-response-to-the-1619-project
25. Zinn Education Project. (2022). *A people's history curriculum resources*. https://www.zinnedproject.org